

**December 15, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 1a. ADMISSION DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005)**

SITE	Number of Admissions	Homeless Living in the Community %	Usually Unemployed Past 3 Years %	Usually Employed Full-Time Past 3 Years %	Competitively Employed Past 30 Days %	Using Alcohol Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Using Drugs Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Using Etoh or Drugs Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Mean ASI ETOH at Admission	Mean ASI Drug at Admission	Mean ASI Psychiatric at Admission	Mean GAF Score at Admission
(a) Albany 500	22	63.6%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	77.3%	70.0%	90.5%	0.27	0.21	0.12	52
(b) American Lake 505	42	69.0%	19.0%	42.9%	9.5%	21.4%	16.7%	33.3%	0.06	0.04	0.19	52
(a) Atlanta 508	13	69.2%	15.4%	69.2%	0.0%	38.5%	61.5%	84.6%	0.10	0.10	0.11	58
(b) Battle Creek 515	21	28.6%	14.3%	47.6%	0.0%	85.7%	57.9%	90.5%	0.37	0.15	0.34	54
(a) Bedford 518	44	72.7%	13.6%	52.3%	4.5%	77.3%	53.5%	86.0%	0.33	0.13	0.23	53
(a) Birmingham 521	11	81.8%	18.2%	54.5%	0.0%	54.5%	63.6%	90.9%	0.17	0.11	0.27	61
(d) Bonham 522	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.09	0.15	0.00	60
(b) Boston 523	22	63.6%	18.2%	13.6%	0.0%	86.4%	59.1%	95.5%	0.49	0.22	0.05	52
(c) Boston Women 523	7	57.1%	14.3%	42.9%	0.0%	42.9%	33.3%	50.0%	0.02	0.13	0.50	39
(b) Butler 529	5	60.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	60.0%	100.0%	0.21	0.16	0.22	52
(b) Cleveland 541	58	48.3%	1.7%	74.1%	1.8%	69.0%	63.5%	86.2%	0.16	0.12	0.05	50
(a) Dallas 549	20	70.0%	5.0%	55.0%	5.3%	55.0%	40.0%	55.0%	0.13	0.07	0.24	50
(b) Danville 550	5	60.0%	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	60.0%	0.10	0.08	0.30	53
(b) North Chicago 556	17	52.9%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	58.8%	82.4%	82.4%	0.11	0.20	0.05	40
(b) Ft. Meade 568	16	50.0%	6.3%	56.3%	31.3%	56.3%	18.8%	62.5%	0.18	0.06	0.20	58
(b) Gainesville 573	11	81.8%	0.0%	36.4%	0.0%	63.6%	63.6%	100.0%	0.35	0.24	0.14	67
(c) Hot Springs 579	13	30.8%	7.7%	38.5%	7.7%	84.6%	0.0%	91.7%	0.25	0.00	0.24	57
(b) Kansas City 589	21	52.4%	14.3%	42.9%	0.0%	76.2%	61.9%	81.0%	0.34	0.17	0.29	56
(b) Hampton 590	42	23.8%	4.8%	71.4%	0.0%	71.4%	71.4%	88.1%	0.18	0.17	0.17	48
(a)(b) Lebanon 595	39	33.3%	0.0%	69.2%	0.0%	82.1%	59.0%	89.7%	0.27	0.16	0.18	59
(b) Little Rock 598	43	55.8%	4.7%	79.1%	0.0%	86.0%	74.4%	97.7%	0.27	0.26	0.28	49
(a) Lyons 604	13	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	15.4%	61.5%	91.7%	91.7%	0.12	0.19	0.02	42
(b) Northampton 631	24	20.8%	12.5%	50.0%	0.0%	87.5%	73.9%	100.0%	0.32	0.20	0.36	39
(a) Oklahoma City 635	27	59.3%	14.8%	25.9%	7.4%	88.9%	59.3%	92.6%	0.45	0.21	0.27	47
(b) Palo Alto 640	10	90.0%	10.0%	30.0%	0.0%	70.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.14	0.09	0.08	65
(d) Perry Point 641	42	52.4%	21.4%	42.9%	57.1%	59.5%	51.3%	76.2%	0.17	0.11	0.20	51
(b) Pittsburgh 645	12	25.0%	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	75.0%	60.0%	83.3%	0.29	0.14	0.11	55
(a) San Francisco 662	13	46.2%	15.4%	30.8%	0.0%	46.2%	30.8%	61.5%	0.10	0.06	0.14	61
(d) Tomah 676	20	25.0%	5.0%	55.0%	5.0%	70.0%	31.6%	78.9%	0.27	0.08	0.39	49
(b) Topeka 677	16	37.5%	0.0%	18.8%	6.7%	81.3%	40.0%	87.5%	0.30	0.13	0.37	49
(d) Tuscaloosa 679	15	26.7%	20.0%	46.7%	0.0%	53.3%	61.5%	64.3%	0.20	0.14	0.31	57
(d) Milwaukee 695	9	66.7%	22.2%	44.4%	33.3%	66.7%	55.6%	77.8%	0.21	0.12	0.41	56
<b>Total</b>	<b>674</b>											<b>52</b>
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>												<b>53</b>
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>21.1</b>	<b>52.3%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>50.4%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>68.3%</b>	<b>54.9%</b>	<b>82.2%</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>7</b>

(a) Sites targeting homeless mentally ill veterans. Practice standards established by VHA for the percent of veterans admitted who are homeless is set at 75%.

(b) Sites targeting veterans with substance abuse problems.

(c) Sites targeting veterans with PTSD.

(d) Sites targeting veterans with general psychiatric problems.

**September 1, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 1b. ADMISSION DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - August 4, 2005)**

SITE	Number of Admissions	Substance Abuse Diagnosis %	Any Non-Substance Abuse Psych Diagnosis %	Serious Psychiatric Diagnosis † %	Dually Diagnosed ‡‡ %	Schizophrenia Diagnosis %	Psychosis Diagnosis (other than schizophrenia) %	Currently on Probation/Parole %	Any Incarcerations %
(a) Albany 500	22	90.9%	59.1%	54.5%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	59.1%
(b) American Lake 505	42	69.0%	73.8%	66.7%	45.2%	0.0%	4.8%	16.7%	73.8%
(a) Atlanta 508	13	76.9%	30.8%	25.0%	15.4%	0.0%	7.7%	46.2%	100.0%
(b) Battle Creek 515	21	95.2%	42.9%	42.9%	38.1%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	81.0%
(a) Bedford 518	44	93.2%	86.4%	84.1%	79.5%	0.0%	0.0%	13.6%	63.6%
(a) Birmingham 521	11	100.0%	36.4%	36.4%	36.4%	18.2%	0.0%	27.3%	72.7%
(d) Bonham 522	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
(b) Boston 523	22	95.5%	59.1%	40.9%	40.9%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	72.7%
(c) Boston 523 Women	7	71.4%	100.0%	100.0%	71.4%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	42.9%
(b) Butler 529	5	100.0%	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
(b) Cleveland 541	58	96.6%	53.4%	31.0%	29.3%	0.0%	1.7%	19.0%	82.8%
(a) Dallas 549	20	85.0%	70.0%	65.0%	55.0%	5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	70.0%
(b) Danville 550	5	100.0%	60.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
(b) North Chicago 556	17	94.1%	76.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	58.8%
(b) Ft. Meade 568	16	86.7%	68.8%	60.0%	43.8%	0.0%	6.7%	12.5%	87.5%
(b) Gainesville 573	11	100.0%	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%
(c) Hot Springs 579	13	92.3%	92.3%	76.9%	76.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	92.3%
(b) Kansas City 589	21	100.0%	42.9%	42.1%	38.1%	0.0%	5.3%	38.1%	95.2%
(b) Hampton 590	42	97.6%	64.3%	54.8%	52.4%	0.0%	4.8%	16.7%	73.8%
(a)(b) Lebanon 595	39	100.0%	51.3%	50.0%	48.7%	0.0%	2.6%	44.7%	76.9%
(b) Little Rock 598	43	95.3%	32.6%	27.9%	23.3%	4.7%	2.4%	7.0%	79.1%
(a) Lyons 604	13	92.3%	23.1%	15.4%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	23.1%	92.3%
(b) Northampton 631	24	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	62.5%
(a) Oklahoma City 635	27	100.0%	51.9%	48.1%	48.1%	11.1%	3.7%	11.1%	85.2%
(b) Palo Alto 640	10	100.0%	90.0%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	100.0%
(d) Perry Point 641	42	88.1%	69.0%	69.0%	57.1%	0.0%	9.5%	29.3%	66.7%
(b) Pittsburgh 645	12	100.0%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	66.7%
(a) San Francisco 662	13	92.3%	46.2%	38.5%	38.5%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	69.2%
(d) Tomah 676	20	95.0%	85.0%	80.0%	75.0%	5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	80.0%
(b) Topeka 677	16	100.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31.3%	93.8%
(d) Tuscaloosa 679	15	93.3%	93.3%	93.3%	86.7%	6.7%	0.0%	21.4%	86.7%
(d) Milwaukee 695	9	100.0%	100.0%	77.8%	77.8%	0.0%	22.2%	44.4%	88.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>674</b>								
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>21.1</b>	<b>93.8%</b>	<b>60.4%</b>	<b>50.1%</b>	<b>45.6%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>78.2%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>24.5%</b>	<b>26.0%</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>

(a) Sites targeting homeless mentally ill veterans. Practice standards established by VHA for the percent of veterans admitted who are homeless is set at 75%.

(b) Sites targeting veterans with substance abuse problems.

(c) Sites targeting veterans with PTSD.

(d) Sites targeting veterans with general psychiatric problems.

† Serious psychiatric diagnosis is defined as having a psychiatric diagnosis that falls into one of the following categories: schizophrenia; other psychotic disorder; mood disorder, and PTSD.

‡‡ Dually diagnosed is defined as having a substance abuse disorder and a serious mental illness.

December 15, 2005  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 2. Community-Oriented Programs Environment Scale (COPES) FY05 (October 1, 2004- September 30, 2005)†**

SITE	SUBSCALE MEANS										CINDEX††	
	Relationship Dimensions			Personal Growth Dimensions			System Maintenance Dimensions					
	Involvement	Support	Spontaneity	Autonomy	Practical Orientation	Personal Problem Orientation	Anger and Aggression	Order and Organization	Program Clarity	Staff Control		
Albany 500 (N=17)	3.12	3.47	1.35	1.88	3.47	1.71	1.53	3.41	3.71	3.53	2.85	
American Lake 505 (N=34)	3.29	3.32	2.12	1.94	2.59	1.44	1.03	3.24	3.53	2.79	2.70	
Atlanta 508 (N=8)	3.63	3.50	1.38	2.00	3.50	3.00	1.75	3.75	3.13	3.88	3.08	
Battle Creek 515 (N=19)	3.11	3.32	1.53	1.63	3.47	2.26	2.00	3.32	3.37	3.26	2.81	
Bedford 518 (N=33)	3.67	3.55	2.36	2.67	3.45	1.94	1.09	3.42	3.61	3.18	3.09	
Birmingham 521 (N=0)												
Bonham 522 (N=0)												
Boston 523 (N=20)	3.65	3.65	2.65	2.20	3.55	2.65	1.80	3.75	3.90	3.25	3.25	
Boston 523 Women (N=5)	2.80	3.20	2.00	1.00	2.80	2.00	2.20	2.40	3.40	2.60	2.47	
Butler 529 (N=4)	3.50	3.75	1.75	1.00	3.25	2.50	1.25	4.00	3.50	3.75	3.00	
Cleveland 541 (N=45)	3.89	3.78	1.67	2.96	3.51	3.16	0.27	3.80	3.91	3.29	3.33	
Dallas 549 (N=12)	3.36	3.36	0.82	1.45	3.27	2.36	1.36	3.42	3.82	3.36	2.60	
Danville 550 (N=4)	3.75	3.25	1.00	2.50	3.50	2.50	1.00	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.06	
North Chicago 556 (N=13)	3.69	3.69	1.77	2.00	3.54	3.08	1.08	3.77	3.46	3.69	3.19	
Ft. Meade 568 (N=12)	2.75	2.92	1.42	2.25	3.17	1.92	1.33	2.83	2.92	2.75	2.55	
Gainesville 573 (N=9)	3.22	3.56	2.11	1.89	2.89	1.89	1.11	3.67	3.89	3.22	2.93	
Hot Springs 579 (N=11)	3.00	3.09	1.36	1.73	3.36	2.18	1.27	3.27	3.91	3.09	2.78	
Kansas City 589 (N=11)	3.82	3.73	2.18	2.00	3.73	2.00	0.82	3.64	3.91	3.27	3.14	
Hampton 590 (N=31)	3.26	2.97	1.45	1.65	3.32	2.42	1.58	3.52	3.42	3.26	2.81	
Lebanon 595 (N=31)	2.74	2.84	1.84	1.77	2.71	2.16	1.77	3.26	3.16	3.10	2.62	
Little Rock 598 (N=37)	3.43	3.43	1.35	2.00	3.00	1.86	1.00	3.62	3.81	3.19	2.86	
Lyons 604 (N=12)	3.83	3.58	1.67	2.00	3.08	2.42	1.17	3.50	3.67	3.33	3.01	
Northampton 631 (N=21)	3.95	3.90	3.81	3.00	3.71	4.00	0.19	4.00	3.90	3.00	3.70	
Oklahoma City 635 (N=25)	2.88	3.40	1.68	1.56	3.28	1.48	1.64	3.36	3.60	3.20	2.72	
Palo Alto 640 (N=10)	3.60	3.50	2.30	2.70	3.50	3.30	1.10	3.60	3.90	3.10	3.28	
Perry Point 641 (N=30)	3.40	3.23	1.13	2.17	3.40	2.13	1.67	3.43	3.63	3.33	2.87	
Pittsburgh 645 (N=13)	3.54	3.38	1.92	2.38	3.38	2.85	1.23	3.46	3.69	3.54	3.13	
San Francisco 662 (N=12)	3.42	2.83	1.75	2.08	2.58	0.67	1.33	3.58	3.50	2.92	2.59	
Tomah 676 (N=13)	3.08	3.23	2.08	1.77	3.08	1.92	1.23	3.38	3.46	2.92	2.77	
Topeka 677 (N=12)	3.50	3.25	1.33	2.25	3.00	1.17	1.08	3.25	3.75	3.00	2.72	
Tuscaloosa 679 (N=13)	2.85	3.62	1.00	1.46	3.46	1.62	2.00	3.00	3.38	3.31	2.63	
Milwaukee 695 (N=8)	3.88	3.50	2.25	2.13	3.75	3.00	0.75	3.75	3.38	3.38	3.22	
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>3.39</b>	<b>3.39</b>	<b>1.77</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>3.28</b>	<b>2.25</b>	<b>1.29</b>	<b>3.47</b>	<b>3.60</b>	<b>3.23</b>	<b>2.92</b>	
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.26</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.28</b>	
<b>American Normative Sample</b> <b>(N=54 Programs)</b>	<b>2.71</b>	<b>2.76</b>	<b>2.11</b>	<b>1.97</b>	<b>2.26</b>	<b>1.82</b>	<b>1.66</b>	<b>2.97</b>	<b>3.05</b>	<b>2.26</b>		

† COPES subscales scores range 0-4.

†† Cindex is the mean of 9 of the 10 COPES subscales. The anger & aggression subscale has been omitted.

**December 15, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 3. Work Environment Scale (WES) Data FY05 (October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005)†**

SITE	SUBSCALE MEANS										WINDEX‡‡	
	Relationship Dimensions			Personal Growth Dimensions			System Maintenance and System Change Dimensions					
	Involvement	Peer	Supervisor Support	Autonomy	Task Orientation	Work Pressure	Clarity	Control	Innovation	Physical Comfort		
Albany 500 (N=17)	5.00	4.18	5.18	5.18	7.12	3.65	7.12	7.59	2.59	6.12	5.56	
American Lake 505 (N=34)	6.47	5.82	6.26	6.26	7.59	3.32	7.24	6.62	4.00	6.35	6.29	
Atlanta 508 (N=8)	7.13	6.00	6.50	4.75	8.00	3.00	8.00	7.13	4.13	7.38	6.56	
Battle Creek 515 (N=19)	5.63	6.11	6.32	5.42	7.26	4.11	7.05	7.42	3.68	5.05	5.99	
Bedford 518 (N=33)	5.30	5.27	5.45	4.91	6.48	3.52	6.67	6.52	2.76	5.70	5.45	
Birmingham 521 (N=0)												
Bonham 522 (N=0)												
Boston 523 (N=20)	6.05	5.65	5.95	4.80	7.10	2.45	7.45	6.65	3.85	5.65	5.91	
Boston 523 Women (N=5)	6.20	4.60	6.60	5.20	7.60	2.20	7.40	5.80	3.40	5.60	5.82	
Butler 529 (N=4)	6.25	5.75	7.00	4.75	6.00	1.50	7.50	6.00	4.50	8.50	6.25	
Cleveland 541 (N=45)	6.38	6.09	7.07	5.98	8.04	5.13	7.93	7.49	3.42	5.56	6.44	
Dallas 549 (N=12)	5.00	4.00	4.09	4.82	6.64	3.42	6.82	8.00	2.91	7.27	5.51	
Danville 550 (N=4)	6.75	5.00	6.50	6.75	7.25	2.25	7.75	7.75	6.00	7.75	6.83	
North Chicago 556 (N=13)	7.62	6.85	7.08	5.85	8.00	3.46	7.85	7.62	4.54	6.54	6.88	
Ft. Meade 568 (N=12)	6.00	5.50	6.67	6.42	7.92	3.67	7.50	5.83	4.00	6.17	6.22	
Gainesville 573 (N=9)	5.89	5.56	6.11	5.78	7.22	2.67	7.44	7.22	3.22	5.89	6.04	
Hot Springs 579 (N=11)	6.55	6.36	6.73	5.91	7.36	3.27	7.45	6.45	4.00	6.82	6.40	
Kansas City 589 (N=11)	7.45	6.55	5.91	6.00	7.55	3.09	8.00	7.09	4.82	7.27	6.74	
Hampton 590 (N=31)	5.97	6.03	6.48	5.35	7.00	2.45	6.97	6.87	3.65	6.06	6.04	
Lebanon 595 (N=31)	4.35	5.35	5.55	5.13	6.81	3.10	6.90	7.26	2.39	5.77	5.50	
Little Rock 598 (N=37)	5.92	5.19	6.22	5.54	7.24	2.68	7.43	6.70	3.76	6.95	6.11	
Lyons 604 (N=12)	6.50	5.83	6.25	5.83	7.33	2.83	7.67	7.08	4.33	6.33	6.35	
Northampton 631 (N=21)	7.67	7.10	6.95	8.24	7.62	0.95	8.52	7.52	6.71	6.90	7.47	
Oklahoma City 635 (N=25)	6.12	4.92	5.96	6.36	6.72	2.68	7.00	6.08	3.48	6.20	5.87	
Palo Alto 640 (N=10)	6.70	5.90	6.20	6.30	7.50	4.30	7.10	6.80	3.50	6.50	6.28	
Perry Point 641 (N=30)	5.79	5.14	6.14	5.69	6.90	4.17	7.00	6.69	3.41	5.07	5.76	
Pittsburgh 645 (N=13)	7.00	5.92	6.54	4.54	7.46	3.31	7.46	7.54	4.62	7.08	6.46	
San Francisco 662 (N=12)	4.92	5.33	5.08	4.50	6.42	3.83	6.42	6.25	3.33	5.67	5.32	
Tomah 676 (N=13)	6.15	5.77	6.08	5.62	6.62	3.85	6.62	6.54	4.23	6.23	5.98	
Topeka 677 (N=12)	5.58	5.25	5.50	6.08	7.50	3.75	7.00	6.83	3.00	6.92	5.96	
Tuscaloosa 679 (N=13)	6.92	5.69	6.69	5.69	7.85	2.77	8.08	7.54	5.15	6.46	6.68	
Milwaukee 695 (N=8)	7.63	6.25	6.75	5.38	8.13	2.88	7.75	6.25	4.75	7.38	6.69	
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>6.23</b>	<b>5.63</b>	<b>6.19</b>	<b>5.63</b>	<b>7.27</b>	<b>3.14</b>	<b>7.37</b>	<b>6.90</b>	<b>3.94</b>	<b>6.44</b>	<b>6.18</b>	
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>0.84</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.78</b>	<b>0.53</b>	<b>0.84</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.60</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>0.49</b>	
<b>Normative Sample</b>												
<b>General Work Setting (N=1,446 employees)</b>	<b>5.95</b>	<b>5.70</b>	<b>5.68</b>	<b>5.54</b>	<b>5.90</b>	<b>4.40</b>	<b>5.60</b>	<b>4.88</b>	<b>4.42</b>	<b>4.89</b>		

† WES subscales scores range 0-9.

‡‡ Windex is the mean of 9 of the 10 WES subscales. The work pressure subscale has been omitted.

**December 15, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 4a. DISCHARGE DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005)**

SITE	Number of Discharges <sup>†</sup>	Mean Length of Stay	Avg Wkly Rent Paid	Avg CWT Wkly Earnings	Avg Comp Emp Wkly Earnings	Total Avg Wkly Earnings <sup>††</sup>	Mutual Agreed D/C %	Failure to Comply w/ Prog. Req. %	Left Before Planned Discharge %
Albany 500	19	149.6	\$45.63	\$179.73	\$34.71	\$214.44	47.4%	47.4%	5.3%
American Lake 505	42	145.9	\$49.18	\$153.26	\$48.04	\$201.30	57.1%	23.8%	16.7%
Atlanta 508	8	272.9	\$48.60	\$211.99	\$13.66	\$225.65	87.5%	0.0%	12.5%
Battle Creek 515	20	106.6	\$45.80	\$209.18	\$2.87	\$212.05	55.0%	30.0%	10.0%
Bedford 518	46	182.2	\$55.39	\$244.56	\$18.88	\$263.44	65.2%	13.0%	19.6%
Birmingham 521	0								
Bonham 522	0								
Boston 523	21	298.3	\$90.28	\$291.24	\$36.52	\$327.76	71.4%	14.3%	9.5%
Boston 523 Women	6	225.5	\$84.73	\$269.30	\$0.00	\$224.42	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Butler 529	1	24.0	\$42.00	\$265.42	\$0.00	\$265.42	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cleveland 541	61	125.0	\$59.22	\$223.48	\$14.29	\$237.78	57.4%	9.8%	32.8%
Dallas 549	17	161.5	\$56.39	\$173.22	\$6.31	\$179.53	41.2%	29.4%	29.4%
Danville 550	6	342.2	\$40.88	\$173.74	\$80.02	\$253.76	83.3%	0.0%	16.7%
North Chicago 556	17	216.9	\$46.15	\$150.47	\$61.25	\$211.73	23.5%	41.2%	35.3%
Ft. Meade 568	17	150.1	\$35.86	\$120.04	\$51.82	\$171.85	47.1%	35.3%	11.8%
Gainesville 573	11	159.0	\$64.88	\$186.07	\$39.52	\$225.59	72.7%	18.2%	0.0%
Hot Springs 579	11	179.9	\$37.50	\$189.34	\$84.95	\$274.29	45.5%	18.2%	36.4%
Kansas City 589	17	169.1	\$56.63	\$201.04	\$20.65	\$221.69	23.5%	58.8%	17.6%
Hampton 590	40	126.4	\$44.29	\$166.54	\$35.92	\$202.47	42.5%	42.5%	12.5%
Lebanon 595	38	124.2	\$41.26	\$204.01	\$30.04	\$234.05	47.4%	31.6%	21.1%
Little Rock 598	46	174.7	\$51.48	\$195.47	\$12.03	\$207.51	45.7%	21.7%	32.6%
Lyons 604	13	292.8	\$52.07	\$217.68	\$57.60	\$275.28	69.2%	15.4%	15.4%
Northampton 631	17	189.7	\$48.49	\$181.72	\$30.70	\$212.42	47.1%	23.5%	29.4%
Oklahoma City 635	24	144.5	\$39.36	\$151.47	\$42.54	\$194.00	33.3%	20.8%	41.7%
Palo Alto 640	12	284.0	\$75.75	\$273.09	\$63.91	\$337.00	66.7%	25.0%	8.3%
Perry Point 641	40	197.8	\$37.22	\$54.66	\$191.99	\$245.29	45.0%	22.5%	30.0%
Pittsburgh 645	15	193.6	\$37.94	\$208.16	\$27.14	\$235.29	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%
San Francisco 662	13	287.4	\$50.22	\$205.15	\$127.61	\$332.76	61.5%	23.1%	7.7%
Tomah 676	16	138.4	\$59.65	\$168.88	\$29.91	\$198.80	56.3%	6.3%	37.5%
Topeka 677	18	261.3	\$51.99	\$205.94	\$0.00	\$205.94	61.1%	33.3%	0.0%
Tuscaloosa 679	9	78.0	\$13.08	\$174.55	\$12.78	\$187.33	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%
Milwaukee 695	10	245.5	\$67.03	\$329.92	\$61.30	\$391.22	60.0%	30.0%	10.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>631</b>								
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>19.7</b>	<b>188.2</b>	<b>\$50.96</b>	<b>\$199.31</b>	<b>\$41.23</b>	<b>\$239.00</b>	<b>52.3%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>72.6</b>	<b>\$15.24</b>	<b>\$52.46</b>	<b>\$40.63</b>	<b>\$51.34</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>

<sup>†</sup>This table only includes 1st discharges from your program.

<sup>††</sup>If there are errors/omissions for questions #17-17b or #18-18b on the discharge summary the "Total Avg Wkly Earnings" will not equal the sum of "Avg CWT Wkly Earnings" and "Avg Comp Emp Wkly Earnings."

**December 15, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 4b. DISCHARGE DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005)**

SITE	Number of Discharges †	Housed at D/C %	Institutionalized at D/C‡† %	Competitive Emp. at D/C %	In CWT at D/C %	Unemployed at D/C %	Student or Vocational Training at D/C %	Retired or Disabled at D/C %	Mutually Agreed D/C, Housed & Comp. Emp at D/C %	Mean GAF Score At D/C
Albany 500	19	78.9%	5.3%	47.4%	10.5%	31.6%	15.8%	0.0%	42.1%	53
American Lake 505	42	85.7%	4.8%	47.6%	7.1%	47.6%	2.4%	7.1%	35.7%	61
Atlanta 508	8	87.5%	0.0%	37.5%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	65
Battle Creek 515	20	25.0%	20.0%	25.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	5.0%	55
Bedford 518	46	71.7%	10.9%	13.0%	57.8%	32.6%	6.5%	6.5%	10.9%	60
Birmingham 521	0									
Bonham 522	0									
Boston 523	21	85.7%	9.5%	14.3%	47.6%	9.5%	9.5%	19.0%	9.5%	56
Boston 523 Women	6	66.7%	33.3%	16.7%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%	46
Butler 529	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	49
Cleveland 541	61	65.6%	18.0%	39.3%	6.7%	26.7%	1.7%	3.3%	31.1%	61
Dallas 549	17	82.4%	11.8%	5.9%	41.2%	35.3%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	52
Danville 550	6	83.3%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	16.7%	50.0%	59
North Chicago 556	17	70.6%	17.6%	41.2%	0.0%	58.8%	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	51
Ft. Meade 568	17	52.9%	17.6%	17.6%	23.5%	47.1%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	54
Gainesville 573	11	72.7%	18.2%	45.5%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	78
Hot Springs 579	11	72.7%	0.0%	72.7%	0.0%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%	61
Kansas City 589	17	76.5%	11.8%	23.5%	11.8%	58.8%	5.9%	0.0%	17.6%	54
Hampton 590	40	80.0%	2.5%	57.5%	2.5%	37.5%	0.0%	2.5%	30.0%	51
Lebanon 595	38	78.9%	18.4%	47.4%	5.3%	44.7%	0.0%	0.0%	34.2%	59
Little Rock 598	46	82.6%	2.2%	47.8%	4.3%	41.3%	6.5%	2.2%	34.8%	58
Lyons 604	13	84.6%	7.7%	53.8%	15.4%	30.8%	0.0%	0.0%	46.2%	51
Northampton 631	17	52.9%	17.6%	41.2%	11.8%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	29.4%	50
Oklahoma City 635	24	66.7%	8.3%	50.0%	4.2%	50.0%	4.2%	0.0%	33.3%	57
Palo Alto 640	12	75.0%	8.3%	58.3%	16.7%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%	73
Perry Point 641	40	72.5%	10.0%	60.0%	2.5%	15.0%	2.5%	17.5%	22.5%	56
Pittsburgh 645	15	73.3%	6.7%	40.0%	6.7%	46.7%	0.0%	6.7%	40.0%	56
San Francisco 662	13	76.9%	7.7%	61.5%	7.7%	30.8%	0.0%	0.0%	53.8%	64
Tomah 676	16	75.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	31.3%	0.0%	31.3%	25.0%	57
Topeka 677	18	72.2%	22.2%	44.4%	0.0%	55.6%	0.0%	0.0%	38.9%	63
Tuscaloosa 679	9	88.9%	11.1%	33.3%	0.0%	44.4%	0.0%	22.2%	33.3%	61
Milwaukee 695	10	100.0%	0.0%	30.0%	30.0%	10.0%	0.0%	30.0%	20.0%	62
<b>Total</b>	<b>631</b>									
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>										<b>58</b>
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>19.7</b>	<b>75.3%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>39.2%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>32.7%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>28.5%</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>7</b>

†This table only includes 1st discharges from your program.

‡‡Includes institution, halfway house/transitional living programs, and prison.

**December 15, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 5. THREE-MONTH FOLLOW UP DATA FOR FY05 (October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005)**

SITE	Number of 3-Month Follow-ups Interviewed	Percent of 3-month follow-up interviews completed %	Etoh and Drug Free Past 3 Months %	Housed Past 90 Days† %	Mean Nights Housed Past 90 Days	Currently in Competitive Employment %	Sober, Housed, and in Competitive Employment Past 3 Months %	Currently in CWT %	Sober, Housed, and in Comptetitive Employment or in CWT Past 3 Months %	Mean Total Income Past 30 Days	Mean GAF Score at 3-month Follow-up
Albany 500	22	95.5%	54.5%	68.2%	71.8	59.1%	40.9%	13.6%	45.5%	\$990.73	53
American Lake 505	28	58.5%	71.4%	78.6%	74.3	53.6%	42.9%	10.7%	50.0%	\$988.61	62
Atlanta 508	0	0.0%									
Battle Creek 515	9	16.7%	55.6%	66.7%	68.9	66.7%	33.3%	11.1%	33.3%	\$1,149.44	70
Bedford 518	13	17.6%	84.6%	76.9%	74.9	30.8%	30.8%	46.2%	46.2%	\$1,386.54	66
Birmingham 521	0										
Bonham 522	0										
Boston 523	12	68.8%	66.7%	75.0%	80.0	41.7%	25.0%	41.7%	58.3%	\$1,572.58	56
Boston 523 Women	6	50.0%	83.3%	16.7%	28.7	40.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	\$2,133.17	56
Cleveland 541	12	15.4%	83.3%	83.0%	73.9	91.7%	66.7%	8.3%	66.7%	\$1,159.17	66
Dallas 549	18	78.9%	72.2%	72.2%	73.2	29.4%	11.1%	44.4%	38.9%	\$908.11	55
Danville 550	5	60.0%	60.0%	60.0%	62.4	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	\$998.40	60
North Chicago 556	4	15.8%	100.0%	50.0%	45.0	75.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	\$925.00	65
Ft. Meade 568	12	50.0%	83.3%	75.0%	75.6	8.3%	0.0%	58.3%	41.7%	\$910.17	57
Gainesville 573	7	70.0%	85.7%	71.4%	64.3	57.1%	57.1%	0.0%	57.1%	\$1,127.17	81
Hot Springs 579	5	62.5%	80.0%	80.0%	72.0	80.0%	60.0%	0.0%	60.0%	\$1,867.80	70
Kansas City 589	3	12.0%	33.3%	100.0%	90.0	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	\$303.67	53
Hampton 590	7	15.4%	71.4%	100.0%	90.0	85.7%	57.1%	14.3%	71.4%	\$2,225.00	51
Lebanon 595	26	69.4%	73.1%	58.0%	60.5	65.4%	42.3%	0.0%	42.3%	\$870.58	63
Little Rock 598	17	38.6%	58.8%	76.5%	74.2	52.9%	41.2%	25.0%	58.8%	\$1,268.82	66
Lyons 604	9	72.7%	44.4%	88.9%	80.0	55.6%	44.4%	0.0%	44.4%	\$1,137.67	53
Northampton 631	5	46.2%	40.0%	80.0%	88.6	80.0%	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%	\$1,120.00	53
Oklahoma City 635	21	69.2%	42.9%	47.6%	66.5	57.1%	38.1%	9.5%	38.1%	\$950.52	56
Palo Alto 640	5	62.5%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	80.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	\$1,445.80	79
Perry Point 641	16	48.5%	75.0%	68.8%	68.9	46.7%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	\$1,103.00	52
Pittsburgh 645	13	76.5%	92.3%	84.6%	76.2	81.8%	61.5%	7.7%	61.5%	\$1,266.42	61
San Francisco 662	5	45.5%	100.0%	80.0%	72.0	80.0%	60.0%	0.0%	60.0%	\$1,911.80	71
Tomah 676	6	40.0%	33.3%	100.0%	90.0	16.7%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	\$1,111.17	58
Topeka 677	14	81.3%	42.9%	64.3%	67.5	85.7%	35.7%	0.0%	35.7%	\$901.79	62
Tuscaloosa 679	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	39.0	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	\$1,125.00	50
Milwaukee 695	8	70.0%	87.5%	88.0%	83.0	50.0%	50.0%	25.0%	62.5%	\$1,731.75	63
<b>Total</b>	<b>309</b>										
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>											<b>61</b>
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>52.0%</b>	<b>67.0%</b>	<b>71.8%</b>	<b>71.5</b>	<b>54.0%</b>	<b>36.9%</b>	<b>22.8%</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>\$1,235.35</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>22.8%</b>	<b>14.8</b>	<b>26.4%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>\$423.60</b>	<b>8</b>

†Housed past 90 days is defined as being either in own apartment, room, or house; someone else's apt., room or house; or a hotel, SRO, or boarding home for at least 90 days.

**December 15, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 6. Three-Month Follow-Up Rates by Fiscal Year and by Site.**

SITE	FY92	FY93	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05†
	3-Month													
Albany 500	100.0%	66.7%	83.3%	100.0%	89.5%	76.9%	72.7%	70.8%	40.0%	26.9%	11.1%	57.1%	92.3%	95.5%
American Lake 505	73.7%	85.7%	76.2%	86.3%	63.3%	26.3%	0.0%	33.3%	22.7%	36.7%	41.2%	60.0%	68.0%	58.5%
Atlanta 508					75.0%	66.7%	66.7%	46.7%	55.6%	26.7%	0.0%	37.5%	15.4%	0.0%
Battle Creek 515	100.0%	93.3%	84.4%	77.3%	90.3%	96.4%	94.3%	90.0%	87.5%	17.7%	6.3%	5.6%	34.8%	16.7%
Bedford 518					70.4%	58.5%	28.1%	22.5%	35.2%	31.5%	36.8%	27.6%	39.0%	17.6%
Birmingham 521													0.0%	
Bonham 522													0.0%	
Boston 523	80.0%	71.4%	84.2%	100.0%	100.0%	96.2%	100.0%	88.2%	100.0%	100.0%	85.7%	82.4%	84.2%	68.8%
Boston 523 Women										100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	75.0%	100.0%
Cleveland 541						35.7%	64.3%	46.9%	57.4%	48.0%	28.6%	65.0%	12.8%	15.4%
Dallas 549	50.0%	85.7%	57.1%	76.5%	65.2%	75.9%	93.3%	92.3%	57.9%	44.4%	75.0%	88.9%	76.0%	78.9%
Danville 550											63.6%	54.5%	63.6%	60.0%
North Chicago 556	60.0%	57.9%	71.9%	84.4%	92.0%	94.6%	74.2%	52.9%	36.4%	36.7%	41.4%	55.6%	26.9%	15.8%
Ft. Meade 568	80.0%	87.0%	70.0%	70.0%	33.3%	53.3%	47.1%	83.3%	84.6%	30.8%	83.3%	50.0%	47.8%	50.0%
Gainesville 573										66.7%	58.8%	52.9%	61.5%	70.0%
Hot Springs 579					100.0%	35.3%	40.4%	40.9%	33.3%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	41.2%	100.0%
Kansas City 589											0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.0%
Hampton 590	51.6%	73.0%	75.0%	81.1%	87.5%	63.8%	62.3%	57.1%	48.7%	40.0%	38.9%	51.3%	16.7%	15.4%
Knoxville 592	87.5%	72.7%	90.9%	81.3%	95.0%	15.8%	n.a.							
Lebanon 595					100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.8%	11.1%	30.0%	26.7%	38.9%	5.6%	69.4%
Little Rock 598	88.2%	86.2%	92.0%	78.6%	77.8%	58.5%	61.7%	24.5%	27.8%	30.6%	4.3%	28.2%	12.0%	38.6%
Lyons 604										11.1%	25.0%	50.0%	68.8%	47.1%
Northampton 631	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	69.4%	57.1%	29.4%	96.3%	95.7%	28.1%	41.7%	38.1%	43.5%	28.6%	46.2%
Oklahoma City 635				100.0%	91.9%	36.7%	62.5%	66.7%	18.5%	26.1%	59.3%	57.9%	54.1%	62.8%
Palo Alto 640	66.7%	75.0%	61.5%	75.0%	66.7%	44.4%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	68.4%	80.0%	65.0%	72.7%	62.5%
Perry Point 641													8.0%	48.5%
Pittsburgh 645	100.0%	71.4%	64.7%	71.4%	56.3%	50.0%	76.9%	57.7%	72.7%	54.6%	52.4%	77.8%	84.2%	76.5%
San Francisco 662					50.0%	100.0%	90.0%	85.0%	83.3%	52.2%	50.0%	37.5%	75.0%	71.4%
Tomah 676										0.0%	6.7%	26.3%	30.0%	47.1%
Topeka 677	60.0%	68.8%	64.4%	64.9%	97.1%	60.5%	36.8%	47.4%	50.0%	75.0%	20.0%	76.2%	68.8%	81.3%
Tuscaloosa 679											66.7%	100.0%		100.0%
Milwaukee 695												100.0%	100.0%	70.0%
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>78.4%</b>	<b>78.2%</b>	<b>78.4%</b>	<b>79.9%</b>	<b>74.4%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>41.3%</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>48.2%</b>	<b>52.0%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>24.8%</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>

† The percents for FY05 under-represent 3-month follow-up rates as NEPEC is still expecting more data from sites.